

The following is a set of practices individual campuses are following to help manage the UC-wide JSTOR, University Press, pilot.

UCSC - local DDA plan in place, e and print options for patrons

UCSC is almost exclusively DDA (print and e) for its English language materials. To help manage the UC pilot, we have blocked the local e-DDA option for the U-Presses included in the systemwide DDA plan that match our local approval profile. We are keeping print records in the catalog because we give patrons the option to select print or e versions of titles. When a particular format is purchased for a title, we remove the duplicate record (e or print) for that title.

How UCSC is managing potential duplication:

Because not all presses release e and print versions of their titles simultaneously (or, at all), we expect that some print titles may be triggered for purchase locally.

If possible and easy to do, we will continue to follow local practice of removing duplicate print records once the systemwide JSTOR e copy has been purchased.

If this is not easy to do, we will leave the local print records in our catalog.

We will not remove records for JSTOR DDA titles even when print has been purchased locally.

UCI

We have blocked the 60+ JSTOR publishers from our local DDA with EBL. That will avoid automatic e-duplication but it does create problems for the content not in JSTOR and for potential duplication via slips. We've decided to think of the JSTOR pilot as an "added service" and not be so concerned by the possible print duplication we are going to have. We will assess that after one year. If it is extremely high, we might reevaluate our attitude. I have a short power point slide I put together for the bibliographers that I can share with anyone who is interested.

UCD

Fairly low key approach to the pilot. We are not blocking or slipping any of the JSTOR publishers from our print approval plan, nor our one very small e-preferred econ plan in GOBI. With the initial title list supplied by JSTOR, we went into GOBI and added notes that these titles were part of the JSTOR DDA. We did this regardless of whether or not the title matched on our profile. Will consider doing this for the next series of title lists and then evaluate if these notes have any value for the selectors.

UCLA

We will allow print duplication with our print DDA program. Our main YBP approval plan was modified slightly to account for the JSTOR DDA program. We want to get some data on usage/print duplication after 1 year and will reevaluate our approval plan and print DDA to address duplication, as needed.

UCR

We have not blocked any publishers, but we are carefully monitoring, for now, the books that are being added to the JSTOR DDA to see if there are publishers or disciplines with electronic overlap. We have print DDA and autoship profiles for many of the university presses, but are not concerned with print/e duplication. We load our holdings with YBP, so after the first list of JSTOR titles came out, we were able to do a multiple ISBN search in GOBI for the entire set which gave us a quick look at overlaps. As an aside, we had two publishers with electronic overlap with EBL, Columbia University Press and Michigan University Press. I am looking at whether those two presses had any critical titles that have come out in EBL, but not JSTOR.

UCSB

We analyzed purchasing on our university press print approval plan for JSTOR publishers, along with estimated availability in JSTOR. We then discontinued approval books and slips for 32 publishers (except for art) and maintained 18. For the discontinued publishers, we'll rush purchase a print edition (if not in JSTOR at the time of the requests) for ILL requests and requests sent directly to subject librarians; applies to faculty and grad students only. We anticipate that these requests will be few but will be monitoring.

UCSD

We have been running a local project for nearly all of the JSTOR Books DDA corpus since April 2015. With the arrival of the UC-wide project for 2017 forward, we have modified our local plan to include materials up through copyright year 2016 and to match the UC-wide maximum list price cost of \$300. Our principal way of stopping local duplication has been through the weekly Gobi approval shelf, where a collection coordinator blocks or holds certain approval arrivals when a known or potential matching JSTOR version is shown..

UCB

We are allowing for print duplication at the discretion of selectors, and trying to avoid e-duplication using a Chrome extension that flags titles in GOBI based on ISBNs pulled from our JSTOR holdings KBART (<https://github.com/Librarylan/GOBI-DDA-Doublecheck>). The same extension is used by acquisitions staff before final approval to try and catch any e-duplicates that may have slipped in.

UCM

We are not blocking any of the JSTOR publishers from our print approval plan. We are deleting holdings for purchased JSTOR titles from our EBL DDA e-book plan.